

## **EXHIBIT 25**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ) Master Case No.  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) 3:07-cv-05944-SC  
 ) MDL No. 1917  
 ) Individual Case No.  
 ) 3:13-cv-01173-SC;  
 )  
 ) 3:13-cv-02776-SC  
 )  
This Document Relates to: )  
 )  
ALL ACTIONS )  
 )

VOLUME 2  
Videotaped Deposition of TOSHIHITO  
NAKANISHI, taken on behalf of the Defendants,  
at 501 West Broadway, 19th Floor, San Diego,  
California, commencing at 9:35 a.m., Wednesday,  
July 30, 2014, before Cheryl Sletta, CSR No.  
7354, RPR.

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)  
2 For the Panasonic Defendants:  
3 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
4 BY: ADAM C. HEMLOCK, ESQ.  
5 KAJETAN ROZGA, ESQ.  
6 RYAN GOODLAND, ESQ.  
7 (Telephonic Appearance)  
8 767 Fifth Avenue  
9 New York, New York 10153-0119  
10 (212) 310-8000  
11 adam.hemlock@weil.com  
12 kajetan.rozga@weil.com  
13

14 For the Toshiba Defendants:

15 WHITE & CASE  
16 BY: AYA KOBORI, ESQ.  
17 1155 Avenue of the Americas  
18 New York, New York 10036-2787  
19 (212) 819-8932  
20 akobori@whitecase.com  
21

22 For the Philips Defendants:

23 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
24 BY: TIFFANY B. GELOTT, ESQ.  
25 The Warner  
26 1299 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
27 Washington D.C. 20004-2400  
28 (202) 639-7766  
29 tiffany.gelott@bakerbotts.com  
30

31 For the Thomson Defendants:  
32 (Telephonic Appearance)

33 FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS  
34 BY: STEPHEN M. JUDGE, ESQ.  
35 202 South Michigan Street  
36 Suite 1400  
37 South Bend, Indiana 46601  
38 (547) 239-1942  
39 stephen.judge@FaegreBD.com  
40

1 APPEARANCES:  
2 For the Sharp Plaintiffs and the Witness:  
3 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,  
4 WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  
5 BY: CRAIG A. BENSON, ESQ.  
6 WILLIAM BLAISE WARREN, ESQ.  
7 2001 K Street, NW  
8 Washington, D.C. 20006-1047  
9 (202) 223-7348  
10 cbenson@paulweiss.com  
11 bwarren@paulweiss.com  
12 SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION  
13 BY: MICHAEL KRAVER  
14 ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL  
15 Sharp Plaza  
16 Mahwah, New Jersey 07495-1163  
17 (201) 529-9418  
18 kraverm@sharpsec.com  
19  
20 For the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs:  
21  
22 KIRBY McINERNEY LLP  
23 BY: ROBERT J. GRALEWSKI, JR., ESQ.  
24 600 B Street  
25 San Diego, California 92101  
26 (619) 398-4340  
27 bgralewski@kmllp.com  
28 TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP  
29 BY: LAUREN C. CAPURRO, ESQ.  
30 (Telephonic appearance)  
31 2280 Union Street  
32 San Francisco, California 94123  
33 (415) 563-7200  
34 laurenrussell@atp.com  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)  
2 For the Hitachi Defendants:  
3 (Telephonic Appearance)  
4  
5 KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP  
6 BY: ANDREW WIENER, ESQ.  
7 555 California Street  
8 San Francisco, California 94104  
9 (415) 439-1608  
10 andrew.wiener@kirkland.com  
11 The Japanese Interpreter:  
12 MANAKO IHAYA  
13  
14 Plaintiffs' Check Interpreter:  
15  
16 SATOKO (SOPHIE) UTSUNOMIYA  
17  
18 Defendants' Check Interpreter:  
19 MINORU AKUHARA  
20  
21 The Videographer:  
22  
23 LINDSAY LEWIS  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

1 information that might be useful to you in  
 2 connection with your pricing negotiations for U.S.  
 3 or NAFTA CRT purchases were prices offered in Asia.  
 4 Do you remember giving that testimony?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Can you explain why Asian prices would be  
 7 of interest to you in connection with your pricing  
 8 negotiations for CRT purchases for SEMA or SMCA?

9 MR. HEMLOCK: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: Well, I think I said  
 11 practically the same thing earlier, but when there  
 12 is a shipment from Singapore to Long Beach, for  
 13 instance, the cost of the CRT tubes would be based  
 14 on how many of those units would be in a container.  
 15 And in addition to that, there would be a 15 percent  
 16 tariff.

17 So in the -- for the CRT tubes from Asia,  
 18 the cost of the -- cost of such CRT tubes would be  
 19 the freight cost divided by how many units. And  
 20 then in addition to that, you add the 15 percent.  
 21 So that would be the cost of the CRT tubes in Asia.

22 PLAINTIFF'S CHECK INTERPRETER: In the  
 23 United States.

24 THE INTERPRETER: Huh?

25 PLAINTIFF'S CHECK INTERPRETER: In the

1 BY MR. HEMLOCK:

2 Q Nakanishi-san, hi. Just two very brief  
 3 follow-up questions from the examination.

4 Turning back to Exhibit 3620, Mr. Benson  
 5 had asked you a couple of questions about this. And  
 6 if you could kindly turn back to page 212458.

7 Am I correct, Mr. Nakanishi, that you do  
 8 not recall preparing this page of this document?

9 A So are you asking me who prepared this?

10 Q No, I'm asking whether it's correct that  
 11 you do not recall preparing it.

12 MR. BENSON: I'm going to object because  
 13 he already testified who he believed prepared it.  
 14 You're making it sound like he prepared it and he  
 15 forgot that he did.

16 MR. HEMLOCK: No, no, no. That's not my  
 17 intent. Well, let me -- let me be clear then.

18 Q What is your understanding of who prepared  
 19 this page?

20 A The group that is stated here, which is  
 21 the global procurement group of Sharp Japan.

22 Q Okay. And so to be clear, you were not a  
 23 member of that group, so you did not prepare this  
 24 page, correct?

25 A Correct.

1 United States. That will be the price of the CRTs  
 2 made in Asia in the United States.

3 THE INTERPRETER: Thank you, yes.

4 THE WITNESS: And so for the salesperson  
 5 at NAFTA as well, they also take into consideration  
 6 the price -- the Asians' CRT tubes would be when  
 7 they come into the United States. So that would be  
 8 both for the United States and Mexico, but -- so in  
 9 that sense, the prices in Asia, the CRT tube prices  
 10 in Asia was necessary in that sense for negotiating  
 11 CRT tubes of NAFTA as well.

12 BY MR. BENSON:

13 Q And this is because you observed that  
 14 there was a relationship between the Asian CRT  
 15 prices and the United States CRT prices? Let me  
 16 change that to NAFTA instead of the United States.

17 MS. KOBORI: Object to form.

18 MR. HEMLOCK: Object to form.

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe there was a  
 20 relationship.

21 MR. BENSON: Okay. I think I am done for  
 22 now.

23 MR. HEMLOCK: Okay. This will be very  
 24 quick. Thank you.

25 FURTHER EXAMINATION

1 Q Okay. Now, with respect to the one entry  
 2 that's been the subject of Mr. Benson's questions  
 3 where it says -- and I'll just read the translation:  
 4 "There is a possibility that Samsung and LGPD are  
 5 conspiring."

6 Do you see that?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Do you know what basis the author had for  
 9 writing those words?

10 A That, I would not know, but I suspect that  
 11 just because the prices both happened to go up and  
 12 it was to the same price, so perhaps just because it  
 13 was the same price.

14 Q Okay. But you don't know, in fact, what  
 15 the reason is that the author wrote those words?

16 A That's correct, I don't know.

17 MR. HEMLOCK: No further questions. Okay.  
 18 Thank you, Nakanishi-san.

19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends videodisc  
 20 number 5, and this ends today's deposition of  
 21 Toshihito Nakanishi, Volume 2. The time is  
 22 7:34 p.m. on July 30th, 2014. We are off the  
 23 record.

24 (The deposition concluded at 7:34 p.m.)